

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 Mary M. Ducey-Hardos,

12 Plaintiff,

13 vs.

14
15 LOS ANGELES WORLD AIRPORTS;
16 And DOES 1 through 10, Inclusive,

17 Defendant,
18 _____

Case No. SA CV12-1720-BRO (MLGx)

**JUDGMENT IN FAVOR OF
DEFENDANT CITY OF LOS
ANGELES, DEPARTMENT OF
AIRPORTS**

HON. BEVERLY REID O'CONNELL

Court Order : June 2, 2014

Time : In Chambers

Courtroom : 14

Spring St. Federal Building
312 N. Spring St.
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Trial Date : April 10, 2014

19
20 **TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:**

21 On April 10, 2014 at 8:30 am, a jury was selected by the parties and trial
22 commenced in the above-referenced matter of *Mary M. Ducey-Hardos v. Los*
23 *Angeles World Airports* on Plaintiff's claims of disability discrimination and
24 retaliation under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (Dkt. No. 1).

25 After a four-day jury trial, on April 16, 2014, the jury returned a unanimous
26 verdict in favor of Defendant City of Los Angeles, Department of Airports,
27 erroneously sued herein as Los Angeles World Airports (LAWA), wherein the jury
28 specifically determined that Plaintiff's disability was not the sole cause or a

1 motivating factor in any of the adverse actions Plaintiff complained about, including
2 the delay in her payment under her second consulting agreement and a decision not
3 to seek to renew her consulting contract for a third year. (Dkt. No. 124).

4 On April 16, 2014, after the Jury returned its verdict on Plaintiff's
5 discrimination claim, the parties were provided an opportunity to brief the issue of
6 whether her retaliation or any other equitable claim survived the jury's verdict. On
7 June 2, 2014, having considered all of the evidence and testimony at trial, the Jury's
8 Special Verdict Form, and the parties' briefs and legal arguments submitted on the
9 issue of retaliation, the Court found that Plaintiff's retaliation claim survived the
10 jury's verdict, but her retaliation claim should be dismissed for failure to meet her
11 burden of proof and judgment should be entered in favor of Defendant, as discussed
12 more fully in the Court's June 2, 2014 Minute Order, which is incorporated herein
13 by reference. (Dkt. No. 161).

14 IT IS HEREBY ORDRED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Plaintiff has
15 failed to meet her burden of proof by a preponderance of the evidence on her
16 surviving retaliation claim under the Rehabilitation Act. Accordingly, Defendant is
17 entitled to judgment.

18 IT IS FURTHER ORDRED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Plaintiff,
19 Mary M. Ducey-Hardos, also known as Peggy Ducey, shall take nothing by way of
20 her Complaint and that Judgment is hereby ordered to be entered in favor of the
21 Defendant City of Los Angeles, Department of Airports;

22 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Defendant
23 City of Los Angeles, Department of Airports shall recover costs of suit, according to
24 proof.

25 Dated: June 10, 2014

26 By: _____



27 HON. BEVERLY REID O'CONNELL
28 United States District Court Judge